

REMARKS

The present Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated February 21, 2006 in reference to the above-identified application. Filed concurrently herewith is a request for a one-month extension of time, making this response due by June 21, 2006. Claims 13, 15-19 and 25-28 are pending.

In that Office Action claims 13, 15-19 and 25-28 were objected to for informalities. Also in that Office Action claims 13, and 15-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,522,351 to Park in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,416,510 to Lipton et al. Claims 25-28 were rejected as being obvious over Lipton. Finally, claims 13, 15-18, 19 and 25-28 were rejected as being obvious over newly cited reference, U.S. Patent No. 4,954,890 to Park.

At the outset it should be noted that the Applicant has canceled claims 19, and 25-28 thereby obviating any objections or rejections pertaining thereto.

With respect to the informality objections pertaining to claims 13 and 15-18, the Applicant has amended claim 13 to recite that the pictures to be projected are “represented by incoming odd and even numbered picture signals.” Also, claim 13 has been amended to recite that each odd and even numbered “picture signal” is transferred to its respective projector. These amendments clarify what should be understood from the claims as originally presented, that the projector receives picture signals and projects pictures, and are supported by the application as originally filed such that they do not add any new matter. Claim 15 is similarly amended to recite that the

“projectors each project their associated right and left pictures at the same time.” This amendment to claim 15 also clarifies that each projector only projects either the left or right picture, but may do so at the same time that the other projector projects its associated picture. For example only, and not be construed as limiting, the first projector may project the right image at the same time the second projector projects the left image.

In regard to the rejections of claims 13, and 15-18, the Applicant has amended claim 13 to further recite that the first and second picture storages are each “divided into a plurality of memory areas” for selectively scanning or storing a picture. This addition emphasizes the asynchronous nature of the instant application and clearly sets it apart from the systems disclosed in the applied references.

The newly cited reference, Park ‘890, teaches a system that always displays the incoming picture immediately, alternating between the left and right images. Additionally, each left/right picture is stored and displayed again while the picture belonging to the opposite side is received. Thus, the picture frequency (image frames per second) is the same at the signal source and at each of the two projectors. To display an acceptable 60 right pictures and 60 left pictures per second, the signal source must provide 120 pictures per second. The way Park ‘890 handles the signals, each projector must display 120 pictures per second. In contrast, the methods recited in the present application has the advantage of displaying the same image stream utilizing standard 60 image per second projectors because each image is only displayed once.

None of the references applied here, either alone or in combination, fully and fairly teach all of the elements recited in currently amended claim 13. Even assuming arguendo that the references teach all of the recited elements, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine them as disclosed in the present application. Accordingly, claim 13 should be allowed and claims 15-18 should also be allowed as they depend from claim 13.

No additional claims fees are believed to be payable upon the Amendment. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the required fees, or to credit any overpayment, to deposit account number 13-1940.

Based on the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in complete condition for allowance and action to that end is courteously solicited. If any issues remain to be resolved prior to the granting of this application, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney or agent for the Applicant at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

MARTIN & HENSON, P.C.

/John Wray Carpenter/
Timothy J. Martin, #28,640
Michael R. Henson, #39,222
John W. Carpenter, #57,830
9250 West 5th Avenue, Suite 200
Lakewood, Colorado 80226
(303) 232-3388